

Testing exponentiality against L -distributions

Gopal Chaudhuri

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Calcutta, India

Received 3 April 1996; received in revised form 2 December 1996

Abstract

In this paper we propose a test statistic for testing exponentiality versus L -class of life distributions. This test is based on an estimate of a functional of the cdf which discriminates between the exponential and L -family. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

AMS classification: 62G10

Keywords: Brownian bridge; Gaussian process; L -class of life distributions; Karhunen–Loeve expansion

1. Introduction and summary

We assume that F is an absolutely continuous life distribution with $F(0)=0$, has a finite mean μ and denote the survival function (SF) by \bar{F} ($=1-F$). Let D denote the set of all such life distributions.

Klefsjö (1983) has defined and extensively studied the L -class of life distributions. A distribution function $F \in D$ is said to belong to the L -class if for $s \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-st)\bar{F}(t) dt \geq \mu(1+s\mu)^{-1}. \quad (1.1)$$

The right-hand side of (1.1) may be seen to be the Laplace transform of an exponential distribution with mean μ . It is easy to show that the L -class is strictly larger than the harmonically new better than used in expectation (HNBUE) class of life distributions. Hence, the L -class also contains the smaller NBUE, NBU, IFRA and IFR classes of life distributions.

An excellent survey of the tests of exponentiality versus the various life distributions belonging to IFR, IFRA, NBU, NBUE-classes is given in Hollander and Proschan (1984). The same problem for HNBUE-class was considered by Klefsjö (1983). For testing exponentiality against IDMRL distribution see Hawkins et al. (1992) and references therein.

Let E denote the class of exponential distributions. Then $E \subset D$ and equality occurs in (1.1) for all $s \geq 0$ if and only if $F \in E$. Due to this “no-aging” property of $F \in E$, it is of practical interest to know whether a given life distribution F is in E . Alternatively, one may ask if $F \in L$.

Therefore, in this paper, we consider the problem of testing $H_0: F \in E$ versus $H_1: F \in L$ based on a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n , of size n , from $F \in D$ (F unknown). Our test is based on an estimate of a functional which distinguishes $F \in E$ from $F \in L$. This functional for $F \in D$ is given by

$$\varphi(F) = \sup\{\psi_s(F): 0 \leq s \leq F^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)\}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ is a small fixed number, and,

$$\psi_s(F) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-st)\bar{F}(t) dt - \mu(1 + s\mu)^{-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

The functional $\varphi(F)$ is clearly 0 for $F \in E$ and strictly positive for $F \in L$.

Our test statistic is $\varphi(F_n)$ where $F_n(\cdot)$ is the empirical df. We show that this statistic has a limit distribution which coincides with the distribution of the supremum of certain Gaussian process. Using this limiting distribution, critical values are obtained. We compute power by Monte-Carlo method.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 the test statistic and its limiting null distribution are given. Section 3 contains the power computations.

2. The test statistic and its limiting null distribution

Let \bar{X}_n denote the sample mean. Then our test statistic is

$$T_n = n^{1/2}\bar{X}_n^{-1}\varphi(F_n). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *With definition (1.3), we have*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq F^{-1}(1-\varepsilon)} \|\psi_s(F_n) - \psi_s(F)\| = O_p(n^{-1/2}), \tag{2.2}$$

where F_n is the empirical df and F is assumed to be a life distribution having an absolutely continuous density.

Proof. See the appendix.

Hence, by the above lemma, $\varphi(F_n)$ is near zero under H_0 and large positive under H_1 , making large values of T_n significant for testing H_0 versus H_1 .

The following computational formula is derived easily, where $X_{(1)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$ denote the order statistics ($X_{(0)} = 0$):

$$T_n = n^{1/2}\bar{X}_n^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right) a_{ij} - \frac{\bar{X}_n}{1 + (j/m)F^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)\bar{X}_n} \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$m = [n(1 - \varepsilon)],$$

and

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\exp(-(j/m)F^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)X_{(i)}) - \exp(-(j/m)F^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)X_{(i+1)})}{(j/m)F^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)}.$$

The asymptotic null distribution of T_n is given in Theorem 2.1. In this direction, let

$$Z_\varepsilon(p) = \int_0^1 (1 - u)^{p\mu - 1} W^0(u) du \quad \text{for some } \mu > 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where W^0 is a Brownian bridge. Then $\{Z_\varepsilon(p), 0 \leq p \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$ is a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function $K(p, q)$ given by

$$K^{-1}(p, q) = (p\mu + 1)(q\mu + 1)(p\mu + q\mu + 1). \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.1. Under $H_0: F \in E$,

$$T_n \xrightarrow{d} Z_\varepsilon \equiv \sup\{Z_\varepsilon(p): 0 \leq p \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}.$$

Proof. See the appendix.

Approximate asymptotic critical values of the test statistic T_n based on Z_ε can be obtained via the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. For the Gaussian process $\{Z_\varepsilon(p): 0 \leq p \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$ defined in (2.4),

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1 - \varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon(p) > c\right) \leq 2P\left(X > \frac{c}{K^{1/2}(1 - \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon)}\right), \tag{2.6}$$

where X is $N(0, 1)$,

$$K^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon) = [\mu(1 - \varepsilon) + 1]^2 [(1 - \varepsilon)\mu + 1] \quad \text{and } 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$

Proof. See the appendix.

Table 1 contains selected quantiles of the distribution of Z_ε , computed from the bound in (2.6).

A comparison of the bound in (2.6) with the exact value is given in Table 3.

3. Power computation

Table 2 contains Monte-Carlo power computations based on 1000 replications of samples of size $n = 50$ from $G(t)$, where

$$\bar{G}(t) = 1 - \frac{t}{\mu} \exp\left(1 - \frac{t}{\mu}\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \mu, \tag{3.1}$$

Table 1
Approximate quantiles of Z_ϵ ($\epsilon = 0.10$ and $\mu = 1$)

α	0.90	0.95	0.99
Z_ϵ quantile	0.5189	0.6164	0.8114

Table 2
Power of the proposed test

Size	0.01	0.05	0.10
μ			
1	0.0430	0.0512	0.0758
5	0.2061	0.2105	0.2237
10	0.2397	0.2409	0.2816
20	0.4012	0.4653	0.4980
50	0.5574	0.5601	0.5645
100	0.6100	0.6205	0.6291

Table 3
A comparison of the bound in (2.6) with the exact value

Bound	Exact
0.10	0.07
0.05	0.03
0.01	0.008

see Chaudhuri and Deshpande (1996). It is known that $\tilde{G}(t)$ is a logconcave SF, which is a lower bound of a SF belonging to the L -class. Note that the power increases as μ increases, as expected.

Acknowledgements

The author is extremely grateful to Professor B.V. Rao for his thorough checking of the mathematical details of this paper and thanks are due to Professors J.K. Ghosh and P.K. Sen for helpful discussions.

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We have,

$$\psi_s(F_n) - \psi_s(F) = \left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-st) \tilde{F}_n(t) dt - \frac{\mu}{1 + s\mu} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-st) \bar{F}(t) dt - \frac{\mu}{1+s\mu} \right) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-st) (\bar{F}_n(t) - \bar{F}(t)) dt \\
 &= - \int_0^\infty \exp(-st) (F_n(t) - F(t)) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{0 \leq s \leq F^{-1}(1-\varepsilon)} n^{1/2} \|\psi_n(F_n) - \psi_s(F)\| &\leq \int_0^\infty n^{1/2} \|F_n(t) - F(t)\| dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \|W_n(u)\| \frac{du}{f(F^{-1}(u))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(By applying the transformation $u = F(t)$ and writing $W_n(u) = n^{1/2}(F_n(F^{-1}(u)) - u)$, $du = f(F^{-1}(u))dt$. Recall that F is assumed to have an absolutely continuous density f).

$$\xrightarrow{\omega} \int_0^1 \|W^0(u)\| \frac{du}{f(F^{-1}(u))} < \infty$$

(see Billingsley, 1968). This implies,

$$\int_0^1 \|W_n(u)\| \frac{du}{f(F^{-1}(u))} = O_p(1).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Consider the stochastic process

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_n(p, F) &= n^{1/2}(\psi_s(F_n) - \psi_s(F)) \\
 &= - \int_0^\infty \exp(-s(p)t) n^{1/2}(F_n(t) - F(t)) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $s(p) = F^{-1}(p)$, $0 \leq p \leq 1 - \varepsilon$.

Apply the transformation:

$$u = F(t)$$

and define

$$W_n = n^{1/2}(F_n(F^{-1}(u)) - u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq 1.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{du} F^{-1}(u) = \mu(1 - u)^{-1},$$

we have,

$$\mu^{-1} Z_n(p, F) = - \int_0^1 (1 - u)^{p\mu-1} W_n(u) du.$$

Note that $\psi_s(F) = 0$, for F being exponential with mean μ .

Hence,

$$n^{1/2} \psi_s(F_n) \mu^{-1} \xrightarrow{\omega} - \int_0^1 (1 - u)^{p\mu-1} W^0(u) du,$$

since $W_n(u) \xrightarrow{\omega} W^0(u)$ in $D[0, 1]$, where $W^0(u)$ is a Brownian bridge. \square

Remark. The case when $p = 0$ can be treated separately.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Since $\{Z_\varepsilon(p), 0 \leq p \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$ has a continuous sample path with probability one, we have an a.s. Karhunen–Loeve expansion for $Z_\varepsilon(p)$.

$$Z_\varepsilon(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j \phi_j(p),$$

where

$$z_j = \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon(p) \phi_j(p) dp$$

and ϕ_j is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_j such that

$$\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} K(p, q) \phi_j(p) dp = \lambda_j \phi_j(q).$$

Here z_j 's are independent $N(0, \lambda_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, \infty$. Consider

$$Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}(p) = \sum_{j=1}^m z_j \phi_j(p).$$

Then

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1-\varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}(p) > c\right) \leq 2P(Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}(1-\varepsilon) > c),$$

since

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}\left(j \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n}\right) > c\right) \leq 2P(Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}(p) > c)$$

(see Ash and Gardner, 1975, p. 180) and as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}\left(j \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n}\right) > c\right) \rightarrow P\left(\sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1-\varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}(p) > c\right),$$

which is due to the continuity of the sample path of $\{Z_\varepsilon(p), 0 \leq p \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$.

Now, since

$$Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}(p) \rightarrow Z_\varepsilon(p), \text{ uniformly in } p \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

(see Adler, 1990),

$$\sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1-\varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon^{(m)}(p) \rightarrow \sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1-\varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon(p) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq p \leq 1-\varepsilon} Z_\varepsilon(p) > c\right) \leq 2P(Z_\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) > c).$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- Adler, R.J., 1990. An Introduction to Continuity, Extrema and Related Topics for General Gaussian Processes, IMS Lecture Note – Monograph Series, 12.
- Ash, R., Gardner, M.F., 1975. Topics in Stochastic Processes. Academic Press, New York.
- Billingsley, P., 1968. Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley, New York.
- Chaudhuri, G., Deshpande, J.V., 1996. A lower bound on the L -class of life distributions and its applications, Technical Report # 3/96. Stat-Math Division, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta.
- Hawkins, D.L., Kochar, S., Loader, C., 1992. Testing exponentiality against IDMRL distribution with unknown change point. *Ann. Statist.* 20 (1), 280–290.
- Hollander, M., Proschan, F., 1984. Nonparametric concepts and methods in Reliability. In: Krishnaiah, P.R., Sen, P.K., (Eds.), *Handbook of Statistics*, vol. 4. pp. 613–655.
- Klefsjö, B., 1983. A useful aging property based on the Laplace transforms. *J. Appl. Probab.* 20, 615–626.
- Klefsjö, B., 1983. Testing exponentiality against HNBUE. *Scand. J. Statist.* 10, 65–75.